



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,341	09/10/2003	Masatoshi Kimura	031103	1883

38834 7590 05/16/2007  
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP  
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW  
SUITE 700  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER
----------

YANCHUS III, PAUL B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2116

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/16/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/658,341	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KIMURA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Paul B. Yanchus	<b>Art Unit</b> 2116	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This final office action is in response to amendments filed on 2/26/07.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al., US Patent no. 6,580,950 [Johnson], in view of Tran, US Patent Application Publication no. 2002/0019954.

Regarding claim 1, Johnson discloses a gateway card [Control Unit in Figures 2 and 3] that is connected to an information processor [X10 Interface in Figure 5] and that receives and transmits data between different networks [Global Computer Network and X10 Network in Figure 5], the gateway card comprising:

a receiving unit [Dial Modem, DSL or Cable Modem in Figure 5] that receives from a remote control device [Data Center or Web Browser on User Computer, Figures 1 and 5] remote control data to be set to an apparatus [X10 Lights in Figure 5] to be remote controlled and a remote control request [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 5, lines 29-52]; and

a data setting unit [Microprocessor in Figure 5] that makes the information processor set the remote control data to the apparatus to be remote controlled [column 5, lines 29-52].

Johnson does not disclose changing a power mode of the information processor from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when the receiving unit receives the remote control request, and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of in the remote control data to apparatus to be remote controlled is complete. Tran discloses a power control unit [auto power down system] that changes a power mode of an information processor [PCS] in a network transceiver from a power saving mode to a normal power mode when remote data is received and changes the power mode from a normal power mode to a power saving mode no more remote data is received [paragraphs 0022, 0028 and 0033]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Tran power control unit into the Johnson gateway card in order to reduce the amount of unnecessary power consumption of the gateway card when no remote signals are being sent to the gateway card [Tran, paragraphs 0004 and 0006-0007].

Regarding claim 3, Johnson further discloses that the data setting unit identifies one apparatus to be remote controlled from among a plurality of apparatuses from information contained in the remote control data, and makes the information processor set the remote control data to the identified apparatus [column 5, lines 40-52].

Regarding claim 4, Johnson discloses a gateway control method applied to a gateway card [Control Unit in Figures 2 and 3] that is connected to an information processor [X10 Interface in Figure 5] and that receives and transmits data between different networks [Global Computer Network and X10 Network in Figure 5], the gateway control method comprising:

receiving from a remote control device [Data Center or Web Browser on User Computer, Figures 1 and 5] remote control data to be set to an apparatus to be remote controlled [X10

Lights in Figure 5] and a remote control request [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 5, lines 29-52]; and

making the information processor set the remote control data to the apparatus to be remote controlled [column 5, lines 29-52].

Johnson does not disclose changing a power mode of the information processor from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when the receiving unit receives the remote control request, and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of in the remote control data to apparatus to be remote controlled is complete. Pearce states that the importance of power conservation is known in the art. One well known way of achieving power conservation is shutting down parts of a computer which are not being used [column 1, lines 54-65]. Specifically, Pearce discloses supplying power to an I/O port of a computer only when I/O operations requiring that I/O port are encountered [column 2, lines 12-26]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Johnson method to operate the information processor in a power-saving mode when no remote control requests requiring the information processor are received and operating the information processor in a normal mode when remote control requests requiring the information processor are received. Operating the information processor in a power-saving mode when it is not being used reduces unnecessary power consumption [Pearce, column 1, lines 54-58].

Regarding claim 6, Johnson further discloses identifying one apparatus to be remote controlled from among a plurality of apparatuses from information contained in the remote control data, and making the information processor set the remote control data to the identified apparatus. [column 5, lines 40-52].

Regarding claim 7, Johnson discloses a computer program that is applied to a gateway card [Control Unit in Figures 2 and 3] that is connected to an information processor [X10 Interface in Figure 5] and that receives and transmits data between different networks [Global Computer Network and X10 Network in Figure 5], the gateway control method comprising:

receiving from a remote control device [Data Center or Web Browser on User Computer, Figures 1 and 5] remote control data to be set to an apparatus to be remote controlled and a remote control request [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 5, lines 29-52]; and

making the information processor set the remote control data to the apparatus to be remote controlled [column 5, lines 29-52].

Johnson does not disclose changing a power mode of the information processor from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when the receiving unit receives the remote control request, and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of in the remote control data to apparatus to be remote controlled is complete. Tran discloses a power control unit [auto power down system] that changes a power mode of an information processor [PCS] in a network transceiver from a power saving mode to a normal power mode when remote data is received and changes the power mode from a normal power mode to a power saving mode no more remote data is received [paragraphs 0022, 0028 and 0033]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Tran power control unit into the Johnson gateway card in order to reduce the amount of unnecessary power consumption of the gateway card when no remote signals are being sent to the gateway card [Tran, paragraphs 0004 and 0006-0007].

Regarding claim 9, Johnson further discloses identifying one apparatus to be remote controlled from among a plurality of apparatuses from information contained in the remote control data, and making the information processor set the remote control data to the identified apparatus. [column 5, lines 40-52].

Regarding claim 10, Johnson discloses a gateway apparatus [Control Unit in Figures 2 and 3] having an information processor [X10 Interface in Figure 5] and a gateway section that is connected to the information processor and that receives and transmits data between different networks [Global Computer Network and X10 Network in Figure 5], wherein the gateway section includes

a receiving unit [Dial Modem, DSL or Cable Modem in Figure 5] that receives from a remote control device [Data Center or Web Browser on User Computer, Figures 1 and 5] remote control data to be set to an apparatus [X10 Lights in Figure 5] to be remote controlled and a remote control request [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 5, lines 29-52]; and

a data setting unit [Microprocessor in Figure 5] that makes the information processor set the remote control data to the apparatus to be remote controlled [column 5, lines 29-52].

Johnson does not disclose changing a power mode of the information processor from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when the receiving unit receives the remote control request, and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of in the remote control data to apparatus to be remote controlled is complete. Tran discloses a power control unit [auto power down system] that changes a power mode of an information processor [PCS] in a network transceiver from a power saving mode to a normal power mode when remote data is received and changes the power mode from a normal power

Art Unit: 2116

mode to a power saving mode no more remote data is received [paragraphs 0022, 0028 and 0033]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Tran power control unit into the Johnson gateway card in order to reduce the amount of unnecessary power consumption of the gateway card when no remote signals are being sent to the gateway card [Tran, paragraphs 0004 and 0006-0007].

Regarding claim 12, Johnson further discloses that the data setting unit identifies one apparatus to be remote controlled from among a plurality of apparatuses from information contained in the remote control data, and makes the information processor set the remote control data to the identified apparatus [column 5, lines 40-52].

Regarding claim 13, Johnson discloses a gateway control method applied to a gateway apparatus [Control Unit in Figures 2 and 3] that has an information processor [X10 Interface in Figure 5] and a gateway section that is connected to the information processor and that receives and transmits data between different networks [Global Computer Network and X10 Network in Figure 5], wherein the gateway section executes

receiving from a remote control device [Data Center or Web Browser on User Computer, Figures 1 and 5] remote control data to be set to an apparatus to be remote controlled [X10 Lights in Figure 5] and a remote control request [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 5, lines 29-52];

making the information processor set the remote control data to the apparatus to be remote controlled [column 5, lines 29-52].

Johnson does not disclose changing a power mode of the information processor from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when the receiving unit receives the remote control



Art Unit: 2116

request, and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of in the remote control data to apparatus to be remote controlled is complete. Tran discloses a power control unit [auto power down system] that changes a power mode of an information processor [PCS] in a network transceiver from a power saving mode to a normal power mode when remote data is received and changes the power mode from a normal power mode to a power saving mode no more remote data is received [paragraphs 0022, 0028 and 0033]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Tran power control unit into the Johnson gateway card in order to reduce the amount of unnecessary power consumption of the gateway card when no remote signals are being sent to the gateway card [Tran, paragraphs 0004 and 0006-0007].

Regarding claim 15, Johnson further discloses identifying one apparatus to be remote controlled from among a plurality of apparatuses from information contained in the remote control data, and making the information processor set the remote control data to the identified apparatus. [column 5, lines 40-52].

Regarding claim 16, Johnson discloses a computer program applied to a gateway apparatus [Control Unit in Figures 2 and 3] that has an information processor [X10 Interface in Figure 5] and a gateway section that is connected to the information processor and that receives and transmits data between different networks [Global Computer Network and X10 Network in Figure 5], wherein the gateway section executes

receiving from a remote control device [Data Center or Web Browser on User Computer, Figures 1 and 5] remote control data to be set to an apparatus to be remote controlled [X10

Art Unit: 2116

Lights in Figure 5] and a remote control request [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 5, lines 29-52];

making the information processor set the remote control data to the apparatus to be remote controlled [column 5, lines 29-52].

Johnson does not disclose changing a power mode of the information processor from a power-saving mode to a normal power mode when the receiving unit receives the remote control request, and changing the power mode from the normal power mode to the power-saving mode when the setting of in the remote control data to apparatus to be remote controlled is complete. Tran discloses a power control unit [auto power down system] that changes a power mode of an information processor [PCS] in a network transceiver from a power saving mode to a normal power mode when remote data is received and changes the power mode from a normal power mode to a power saving mode no more remote data is received [paragraphs 0022, 0028 and 0033]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the Tran power control unit into the Johnson gateway card in order to reduce the amount of unnecessary power consumption of the gateway card when no remote signals are being sent to the gateway card [Tran, paragraphs 0004 and 0006-0007].

Regarding claim 18, Johnson further discloses identifying one apparatus to be remote controlled from among a plurality of apparatuses from information contained in the remote control data, and making the information processor set the remote control data to the identified apparatus. [column 5, lines 40-52].

Claims 2, 5, 8, 11, 14, 17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al., US Patent no. 6,580,950 [Johnson] and Tran, US Patent Application Publication no. 2002/0019954, in view of Hilt, US Patent no. 6,738,820.

Johnson and Tran, as described above, disclose a gateway card and control method for a gateway card. Specifically, Johnson discloses that the remote controlled apparatus [lighting controls] may also send status information to the remote control device [column 5, lines 45-49]. Therefore a user at the remote control device could send a command to the lighting controls to turn on/off the lights and then receive status information from the lighting controls indicating if the lights were in fact turned on/off. Johnson does not disclose that the status information is sent to the remote control device via email. Hilt discloses a gateway comprising an email module that sends emails containing status information to a remote control device [column 3, line 65 – column 4, line 9 and column 4, lines 30-34]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Johnson and Tran gateway card to include an email module for communicating status email messages from the controlled apparatus to the remote controlling device. One would be motivated to use email for communication between the gateway and the remote controlling device because email services are widely available to a variety of computing devices [Hilt, column 2, line 64 – column 3, line 9].

#### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed 2/27/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the combination of Johnson and Tran does not disclose all elements of the claimed invention because Tran does not disclose controlling the power mode of an

Art Unit: 2116

information processor, but instead discloses controlling the power mode of a transceiver. Examiner disagrees. Tran does disclose controlling the power provided to a transceiver. However, a transceiver is an information-processing device. A transceiver performs the functions of transmitting data or receiving information. Information is inherently processed during the receiving and transmitting. Therefore, Tran does disclose controlling the power mode of an information processor.

Applicant appears to attack the Johnson and Tran combination by arguing that Johnson is completely silent regarding any power saving device or operation. Examiner agrees that Johnson is silent as to any power saving device or operation, but Examiner does not rely on Johnson to teach any power saving device or operation. Examiner relies on Tran to cure the deficiencies of Johnson. Motivation to modify the Johnson system is found in Tran [see Tran, paragraphs 0004 and 0006-0007].

Applicant also argues that Tran does not disclose changing the power mode from a normal mode to a power saving mode when the setting of remote control data to an apparatus to be controlled is complete. Examiner disagrees. Tran discloses using a transmitter (in a normal power mode state) to send remote controlling link signals to a remote device. After these signals are sent, the transmitter is powered down. The system will be changed from a powered down mode to a normal power mode the next time a remote signal is received. [paragraph 0035]. Therefore, Tran does disclose changing the power mode from a normal mode to a power saving mode when the setting of remote control data to an apparatus to be controlled is complete.

The rejections of claims 1-20 are respectfully maintained.

***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B. Yanchus whose telephone number is (571) 272-3678. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on (571) 272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul Yanchus  
May 13, 2007

  
REHANA PERVEEN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
5/14/07